

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/659,502	09/11/2000	Monica R. Nassif	497.001US1	4893
7:	590 04/22/2002			
Mark A Litman & Associates P A York Business Center Suite 205 3209 West 76th Street Edina, MN 55435		•	EXAMINER	
			WELLS, LAUREN Q	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•			1617	
			DATE MAILED: 04/22/2002	•

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Applicati n N .	Applicant(s)
A	09/659,502	NASSIF ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Lauren Q Wells	1617
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may within the statutory minimum of vill apply and will expire SIX (6) M cause the application to become	v a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timely. IONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 F	Johnson, 2002	
	is action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under <i>b</i> Disposition of Claims	nce except for formal m	
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are pending in the application		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	•	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner		Carlo Monageous S
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accep	•	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep		disapproved by the Examiner.
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	•	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C	C & 119(a)-(d) or (f)
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		3 (15(4) (3) (1)
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		Application No.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	ity documents have bee eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)	en received in this National Stage).
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.	C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
a) The translation of the foreign language prov 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	• •	
Attachment(s)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of	w Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/659,502

Art Unit: 1617

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-26 are pending. The Amendment filed February 19, 2002, amended claims 1, 3, 9-13, and 21-22, and added claim 26.

Response to Applicant's Arguments/Amendment

The Applicant's arguments filed February 19, 2002 (Paper No. 6) to the rejection of claims 1-25 made by the Examiner under 35 USC 102 (e) over Ferguson et al., 103(a) over Ferguson et al. as the primary reference, and 112, have been fully considered and deemed not persuasive.

The Applicant's amendment to the claims filed February 19, 2002 (Paper No. 6) is sufficient to over the rejections under 35 USC 102(e) over Cheung, 103(a) over Cheung as the primary reference, and some 112 second paragraph rejections in the Previous Office Action.

112 Rejection Maintained

The rejection of claims 9-13 and 25 under 35 U.S.C. 112, 1st paragraph, is MAINTAINED for the reasons set forth in the Office Action mailed August 29, 2001, Paper No. 6, and those found below.

Applicant argues "Accompanying this Amendment are the following articles showing common knowledge in the art of "petuli oil". This argument is not persuasive, as no accompanying articles have been filed with Applicant's Amendment.

112 Rejection Maintained

The rejection of claims 1, 21 and newly added claim 26 under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, is MAINTAINED for the reasons set forth in the Office Action mailed August 29, 2001, Paper No. 6, and those found below.

Application/Control Number: 09/659,502

Art Unit: 1617

i) The rejection of claims 1 and 21 and newly added claim 26 over the phrase "ambient environment" is maintained. The Examiner respectfully notes that there is no definition of this phrase on page 21, lines 13-18 of the specification.

ii) The phrase "to effect aromatherapy on persons or animals" in claim 1 (line 8) is still vague and indefinite. It is still not clear how aromatherapy is effected on persons or animals.

102 Rejection Maintained

The rejection of claims 1-21 under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being unpatentable over Ferguson et al. (6,045,813) is MAINTAINED for the reasons set forth in the Office Action mailed August 29, 2001, Paper No. 4, and those found below.

Applicant argues "There is no direct application of the liquid composition to the surface.

The present amendment of "directly" applying the liquid is sufficient to exclude the compositions of Ferguson et al.". This argument is not persuasive. Col. 12, lines 44-59 of Ferguson et al. disclose a method of treating a surface, wherein a composition comprising a carrier liquid and beads is dispensed through a pump onto a surface, and the beads are fractured as they are dispensed or as they are used on the surface, spilling their contents. Thus, the essential oils in the beads come in direct contact with the surface.

103 Rejection Maintained

The rejection of claims 1-21 and newly added claim 22 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ferguson et al. in view of Bonett (6,127,330) in further view of Orson (5,081,104) and Bajgrowicz (6,239,314) is MAINTAINED for the reasons set forth in the Office Action mailed August 29, 2001, Paper No. 4, and those found below.

Application/Control Number: 09/659,502

Art Unit: 1617

Applicant argues "Bonett teaches a single composition that may be separated into two components, and then the components mixed to form a single active composition. This is not a kit with distinct and "separate" active solutions that are complete in themselves". This argument is not persuasive. Bonett is relied upon for what he teaches those of ordinary skill in the art. Bonett teaches that kits comprising multiple compartments for different cleaning solutions are known in the art. Hence, it would be within the skill of one in the art to teach kits comprising multiple compartments of different cleaning solutions.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

i) The phrase "household function selected from the group consisting of surface cleaning.

. . . and iron aids" in claims 1 (lines 3-5) and 26 (lines 2-4) is vague and indefinite, as it is confusing. How are moisturizing, dish soaps, and ironing liquids household functions? How is cleansing different from surface cleaning, surface shining, degreasing and foreign matter removal? Is Applicant claiming a range within a range?

Art Unit: 1617

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lauren Q Wells whose telephone number is (703) 305-1878. The examiner can normally be reached on T-F (6-4:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Minna Moezie can be reached on (703) 308-4612. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9306 for regular communications and (703) 872-9307 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1234.

RVSSELL TRAVERS PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1200 Art Unit: 1617

lqw April 10, 2002